



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,995	12/27/2000		Clare E. Woodman	F-180	6429
919	7590	12/03/2003		EXAMINER	
PITNEY BC	WES IN	IC.	PARADISO, JOHN ROGER		
35 WATERV	IEW DRI	IVE			
P.O. BOX 300	00		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MSC 26-22			3721		
SHELTON, (CT 0648	4-8000			

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003

l/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	Angliagna/a)					
	Application No. 09/748,995	Applicant(s) WOODMAN, CLARE E.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	John R. Paradiso	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		<u></u>					
Period for Reply	••	•					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a i - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 September 2003						
	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allowed in accordance with the practice under the condition for all the condition for all the conditions are conditionally accordance with the practice under the condition for all the conditions are conditionally accordance.	wance except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_ ·						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application of the control of	on No ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(effirst sentence of the specification or	e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					



Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 9/23/2003 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Patent 6343327 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 1023

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ANDERSON, JR. ET AL.

ANDERSON, JR. ET AL discloses a method of processing mail pieces in which a printstream is generated in a computer (40) and transmitted to printers (50) for printing documents, which are delivered to inserter systems (20). A reprint database is generated as needed by the database server (10) and a supervisor client monitors each job, tracking the printstream, the mail pieces, and generating reprints or reports as needed. (See ANDERSON, JR. ET AL column 3 line 43 to column 4 line 30 and figure 1.)

Examiner notes that the claim steps of "interpolating the printstream" to generate a reprint database and a job tracking database is inherent in the method disclosed by ANDERSON,

Application/Control Number: 09/748,995

Art Unit: 3721

JR. ET AL, since the generation of a database in one computer, transmittal of that database to a second (or successive) computer, and re-creation of that database in a form that can be printed inherently implies interpolation of the database from an electronic format to a printable format.

ANDERSON, JR. ET AL does not specifically disclose the additional step of "transmitting the printstream to a workstation computer ..." and there interpolating the data for a reprint database and a tracking database.

Applicant is given Official Notice that the transmitting of data from one computer to another in order to perform different functions at a remote computer or workstation is well known in the art (and in everyday life, where anyone with a computer can upload or download databases and exchange/interpolate data on or from a remote computer via the Internet) in order to reduce the computing load on any one workstation, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of ANDERSON, JR. ET AL to send the printstream to computer workstations to generate the reprint and tracking database in order to reduce the workload on the remote computer, saving computer run time.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9/23/2003 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/748,995

Art Unit: 3721

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. - 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Examiner John Paradiso: (703) 308-2825

December 1, 2003

Additional Phone Numbers:

Supervisor Rinaldi Rada: (703) 308-2187 TC 3700 Receptionist: (703) 308-1148 Customer Service: (703) 306-5648 Fax (TC 3700 Official): (703) 872-9302 Fax (TC 3700 After Final): (703) 872-9303